

**Semi-Annual BRAC Review
Formal Briefings
Wednesday, 29 October 97**

1. Mr. David Walsworth of PR provided the welcome remarks. He briefly discussed the side meetings that occurred on Tuesday, 28 Oct 97 and indicated that the results will be presented later by Mr. Eusebio Garcia of PR. Mr. Walsworth noted one change in the agenda - the GKDC briefing will be switched with the Personal Property. Mr. Walsworth introduced Col. Young. Col. Young addressed the group, commending the group on their efforts.

2. Mr. Eusebio Garcia of PR addressed administrative items. Those items included: the agenda changes, and the action item worksheets.

3. Ms. Lynda Wampler / PR presented the 3 open action items from the last BRAC review. Action Item 149 on the beddown of residual work would be covered at a later session. Action Item 159 on the who, what, where and when of BOS personnel associated with the Cooperative Agreement is pending results of a contractual study; anticipate resolution the end of Feb 98. Action Item 150 on the restored leave was closed.

4. Mr. Garcia PR briefed the side meeting results. Four major topics were discussed: Material Management (Master Move Schedule) Planning; Comm/Mgt Information Issues; Personnel and Manpower Issues; and Budget Issues. Mr. Garcia also indicated that AFMC Public Affairs and Transportation were meeting with their ALC counterparts and that they would be providing feedback to the group as well.

A. During the discussion on the Master Move Schedule template, it was stated that a letter on BRAC 95 was dated and distributed 27 Oct 97. HQ AFMC/XP is committed to Microsoft Project although a question remains as to which version will be used (4.1 vs. Project 98). Project training will be each ALC's responsibility and will not be funded by BRAC. WR-ALC has 2 positions which moved early in support of SEV PGM (98/01). These positions were negotiated between the gaining and losing ALC's. OC-ALC needed clarification on DISA relocation. OO-ALC showed a loss of expertise (nuclear weapons) as a major concern. Ms. Polly Sweet mentioned they are waiting for approval on the proposed move plan. Once a definition is proposed, SA-ALC can survey the work and those willing to move with the workload. SA-ALC will be able to do the work under the supervision of local personnel even though they are in a different competitive area. The significance of the gaining and losing ALC coordination was discussed for the SEV PGM.

Mr. Garcia proceeded to address action items resulting from the Master Move Schedule Plan. The first item was the Transition of Plan of Transfer of Management of WBS from Losing to Gaining Centers. The transition process to transfer responsibility to the gaining ALC must be developed by Sep 98. The OPR is HQ AFMC/XP - BRAC, Major Cooper.

The second action item resulting from the Master Move Schedule side meeting is the Software Requirement - MS Project 4.1 vs. MS Project '98. Each ALC is to provide requirements (Pros & Cons) to HQ AFMC by 7 Nov 97. OPR is HQ AFMC/XP - BRAC Maj. Cooper.

The third action item was the MM Integration Schedule Submission Process. The suspense date is 30 Jan 98. This tasking is to establish a process and medium for the MM Integrated Schedule. OPR is HQ AFMC /XP - BRAC, Major Cooper.

The fourth action item pertains to the Software Standard. Is Microsoft Project an Air Force standard or a Command standard? OPR - SAF/MIIT Lt. Col. Selden.

B. Mr. Garcia proceeded to brief the COMM/Mgt Info Issues side meeting. Action Items include: SA-ALC IPMS process to be used as the example (Poster Child) for SM-ALC. The Network Management Tool "SMS" provides capabilities to track the hardware / software of computers on the network. All Kelly AFB software not bought in support of the program/office if was assigned to will be deleted from the computers.

The second action item is the Technical Order Disposition. Draft HQ AFMC/DR will issue a letter to single managers requesting their plan for relocating Tech Orders was sent. Single managers are to plan for centrally stored tech orders and their plan for relocation of repository files. There is a suspense date of 14 Nov 97. OPR is HQ AFMC/DRCA, Bill Paulus. Ms. Madeline Bass indicated an IPT team is working the issue and that a list was provided to the warehouse people, so the files will be shipped with the single managers. Mr. Walsworth asked Ms. Bass to get with Mr. Jackson to exchange this information.

C. In reviewing the Personnel briefing, the following points were highlighted: Approximately 900 positions in 5 tenant organizations are to transfer to Lackland AFB. Ms. McDaniel expressed concern as to whether or not these were sufficient personnel in both the gaining and losing organizations to maintain the level of work. Early movement of Civilian Personnel Services is being worked. The CARE office will remain approximately two to four years post closure. In that regard, complete EEO requirements have been identified. Remaining functions are identified, but the number of positions have not yet been defined. All positions will be AFMC funded. No formal action items were identified.

The side meeting discussed the Budget. This discussion was broken down into two sections: DLA Budget and AFMC Budget Process. Budget Action items include: DLA New BRAC Requirements must be completed by 4 Nov 97. OPR is HQ DLA/MMBBB, Jeanne Masters.

The second action item was the DLA Manpower Drawdown to identify manpower budget requirements associated with BRAC closure by 4 Nov 97. OPR HQ DLA/MMBBB, Jeanne Masters.

The final action item was the FY98 BRAC Budget Requirements. Due to HQ AFMC 4 Nov 97, based on the revised transfer plan.

Mr. Garcia mentioned that while the side-meetings were being held both AFMC/PA and AFMC / LGT (Planning) had face-to-face discussion with their ALC counterparts. The results of both of these discussion were to be presented to the group by the appropriate AFMC representative Mr. Greg Holevar briefed on transportation and Mr. David Levinston briefed on Public Affairs.

Mr. Holevar of LGT discussed the following regarding transportation management:

- Kelly P-PLAN, Apr 97
- Vehicles
- Cargo
- Select Carriers
- Build Containers
- Track transportation costs.

Issues include:

- DLA may have up to 30 trucks a week. Carriers should have trucks available. Current carriers will be used.
- Need to determine who the trusted agent will be.
- Mobility / Deployment - stand-by APOE, WRM, STAMP/STRAPP - Significant volume will not be going away.
- CLSS/Red Horse - Center CC directed. Highway qualified - DoD policy. When CLSS moves, it must coordinate through 76th. Ms. Perritt stated she will find out who is involved and will coordinate with them to resolve this issue. Mr. Walsworth stated they will continue to move items.

What 76th LG/LGT and CLSS Need:

- Portable computer BAR Codes
- Saws/Nails/Lumber, etc.
- Manpower
 - Personnel in RIF Woodworker
 - Workload to increase through 2001 and beyond to close doors. Will need DP support
- Single POC support by BRAC

Ms. McDaniel commented and suggested several options such as temporary relocation from non-impacted bases. Mr. Walsworth mentioned that Ms. Sweet may want to work on this issue. Col. Young asked what was done prior to BRAC? Mr. Holevar responded that there is packing, crating, moving,

transporters, etc. Ms. Perritt stated that the mission belongs to DLA. Mr. Jay Jennings stated the position is that DLA is funded in resource for the wholesale inventory for RDOs, etc. Anything above and beyond is considered a reimbursable. However, when closing, the resources are tied up with wholesale inventory. Mr. Jennings recommended out-sourcing directly and avoid placing DLA in the middle of it. Col. Young stated an Action Item is necessary for examining the workforce issues. He stated they will look at this at the HQ level.

Mr. Dave Levinston of Public Affairs stated the number one job of the PA office is closure. He stated there are multiple ways to work to get communication out. He stated the workers are affected the most in the base closure process. He stated you have to look at this as stages of grief. They can't hear the messages all the time, so the Public Affairs office will continue to put out information so that workers will get the message. Mr. Levinston stated the PA office is working with personnel that have PCSed to the gaining ALC's to garner information and relate that information to workers here at SA-ALC so that they receive information to help de-mystify the move process. He stated media communications are volatile in San Antonio. The PA office is working on editorial boards at the newspapers and with the television medium to help other media understand what is actually happening. Regarding area communications, the PA office is active in Chamber of Commerce and provides tours on base closure. Mr. Levinston stated those involved in the process are GKDC, Lackland AFB and SA-ALC. He stated the restoration board discusses issues such as base clean-up, etc.

5. Mr. Garcia released the assembly for a 10 minute break.
6. Mr. Mark Frye of KPMG presented on the Base Conversion Process. Mr. Frye mentioned there are 3 phases to base-wide planning: base-wide redevelopment planning; disposal decision making; and parcel-by-parcel decision implementation. Mr. Frye reviewed the conversion process chart, stating the pink tags show where we currently are in the process. In the installation management area there are some milestones that would have been completed early in a 2 year closure, but since Kelly AFB is a five year closure, these actions are pro-rated over time. During the discussion on the Decision Implementation and Disposal Decisions, Mr. Frye stated the Master Lease was signed 24 Jul 97, the Phased Occupancy to GKDC and the Sublessees have been planned and the Personal Property Transfers begun. GKDC and the Air Force are continuing to work out the Phased Lease Occupancy. Mr. Frye stated the last phase will be 14 Jul 01. Mr. Frye stated there are two significant components to the Phase Lease Occupancy: (1) Required to develop an Expression of Interest (EOI); and (2) the GKDC and the Air Force need to develop a Lease Application. Mr. Frye stated the Cooperative Agreement will be amended in a later process. The Cooperative Agreement will be discussed in further detail by Ms. Laura Perritt. Mr. Frye stated the status of the MOU is in final coordination. He stated the base specific Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) is being developed (between Lackland AFB and Kelly AFB). Mr. Frye proceeded to discuss the determination of initial maintenance levels for unleased property. Mr. Frye stated that as the as the Air Force cleanup progresses, the FOST's will be pursued for economically viable parcels. Mr. Frye reviewed the Key Process Issues for the next year including:

- Execution of “Do-Loop” part of big chart
- Development and execution of Base-specific MOA’s
- Refinement of Phased Occupancy Procedures
- Refinement of Personal Property Procedures
- Refinement/Execution of Utilities Strategy
- Development of Initial Maintenance levels
- Integrating GKDC’s building / development projects into “normal” phased occupancy progress
- GKDC funding - seeking federal and state grants, local banking institutions, etc.
- Continuing redevelopment issues associated with public-private competition

7. Mr. Alton Jenkins of PR briefed Personal Property. Mr. Jenkins reviewed the Joint Inventory, IPMS and C-5 Summary. Lt. Col. Gitt discussed the IPMS on Tuesday’s side meeting. During the discussion on the Joint Inventory Walk-through process, Mr. Jenkins stated it is an approved process with all participants for the asset owner determination on accountable / non-accountable personal property. He stated the process is completed at this time and they are finalizing reconciliations on the inventory. The GKDC is participating at this time, although they lack the manpower to fully participate at this time. Mr. Jenkins stated some physical transfers have occurred (to WR-ALC).

Mr. Jenkins stated they will reconcile after 3 Nov 97. He stated IPMS related to BRAC is doing well. Mr. Jenkins stated WR-ALC needs accountability by 3 Nov 97 for the C-5.

Col. Young asked if any equipment had been identified to WR-ALC that the GKDC wanted? Mr. Jenkins answered that a piece of equipment was in question. The equipment has recently been purchased by SA-ALC, but has not been installed yet. The equipment will be part of the PBA workload.

8. Ms. Laura Perritt/PR briefed on the SATAF I Outbrief. She stated this presentation had originally been briefed to Gen. Barksdale. Ms. Perritt ran through the action items for each of the teams.

- Civil Engineering had 23 action items identified, all are open.
- Logistics had 30 action items identified. Two have been closed, 28 remain open.
- Manpower / Personnel - Manpower identified 5 action items; Personnel identified 4 items. Looked at the early transfer of civilian personnel positions.
- Medical - had 2 action item - both open. Only major action item taken back to AFMC was the Occupational training program.
- War Plans - 3 action items
- Security Forces - 10 action items identified. Trying to identify and programming CATM requirements.

- Comm/Information- 7 action items
- Airfield Operations - 3 action items
- Services - 1 minor action item
- Finance - 1 action item - not originally scheduled. BRAC O&M funding flow through the ALC.

Ms. Perritt stated the next SATAF requested a six month update to occur prior to each AFMC Semi-Annual Review.

9. Ms. Laura Perritt of PR briefed on the BOS & Tenant Review. She stated this is part of a briefing that will go up to Gen. Babbitt. Ms. Perritt stated those tenants on East Kelly will be part of the lease back. She stated they are currently doing a test on those tenants and will determine the results. During the discussion on the basic concept of operations, Ms. Perritt stated they are looking at making everything happen at one time, such as money, moves, etc. Ms. Perritt discussed the timelines on the following pertaining to the 37th TRW/SA-ALC, 838 EIS, AIA, CPSG, AFELM Det 12, 433 AW(AFRC), 149 FW (ANG), DISA, Minor Tenants, AFNEWS, 307 RHCES, DECA. Ms. Perritt recapped on Mr. Frye's briefing, stating the basic agreement was signed on 30 Apr 97 for East Kelly and included only initial operations. She stated several amendments are to follow. The EPCF was signed 30 Aug 97. She stated the initial part of Cooperative Agreement was for the planning, and the stand up operational is the follow-on function for PMEL and KSEL. Ms. Perritt showed a chart containing the EPCF Amendment and the requirements. She stated they are on target for the Industrial Area Amendment. Gen. Childress was briefed on 30 Aug 97 regarding the status for the remainder of the base. During the discussion on Tenant Issues, the following were discussed: Red Horse, DLA, and 60 LG. Col. Young asked about the disconnect on the 307th Red Horse issues. Ms. Perritt replied that the AFRC and GKDC are still negotiating. GKDC wants a short term agreement and AFRC wants a long term agreement. Ms. Perritt stated they should come to a resolution at the 25 Nov 97 meeting.

Ms. Perritt stated they are currently working with WR-ALC on initial maintenance levels. She stated if there is a need to mothball, they will need to go to command for funding. She stated they are hoping for internal draft to be put out the week of 2 Nov 97 for the MOA. Col. Young emphasized the need to finalize on this.

Ms. Perritt stated they are trying to set up 2 templates for the transfer and lease back: 1 Air Force, and 1 DoD.

10. Ms Perritt of PR briefed on the Tenants (6.0 in the WBS). She stated they are looking at FY01. She stated 76 MUNS will become a Lackland AFB tenant. Ms. Perritt stated WBS element 6.1 will be re-aligning and WBS element 6.2 is disestablishing. Ms. Perritt discussed the Defense Automated Printing Service moving to downtown Kelly. She stated there has been a change to the 313th Flight Test. The 313th had been originally scheduled to stay, but are now moving to WBS element 6.2. She stated DCMC is dependent on contracts. DLA/DRMO and GSA are currently scheduled to move to Ft Sam Houston. Ms. Perritt stated they have reviewed the list of non-DoD tenants. She

stated some are currently on non-negotiated property. Ms. Perritt stated the footnotes in briefing slides will provide more information on that topic.

11. Mr. Eusebio Garcia of PR provided a presentation on the PMOS Schedule Update Briefing to compare how the system now looks since the last BRAC Semi-Annual Review. Mr. Garcia reviewed those items that have impacted the schedule: the completion of the ROD / EDC; the Master Lease execution; the EPCF transfer; the C-5 Contract Award; and the Master Move Template (which has significantly increased the WBS from 6,000 tasks to 9,000). Mr. Garcia stated the briefing contains handouts of the Tier 1 updates which will give an overview at the ALC level. Mr. Garcia stated the schedule is forwarded up to AFMC on a monthly basis.

12. Mr. Walsworth mentioned an item was missed on the Side Meeting. Col. Purdue briefly spoke about the litigation efforts currently pending. He stated there are eight people assigned to legal office to work on this. Col. Purdue discussed the CARE office. He stated Manpower is on-track with these efforts. However, he stated that the facilities are another story. Building 1562 is being configured for litigation efforts. Col. Purdue stated they are working on having the Litigation office and EEO office remain co-located. He stated this will remain open as an action item. Col. Purdue discussed some concerns, including RIF protection for litigation personnel. He stated about 35 people are associated with the Care effort and 36 for the Litigation effort.

13. Mr. Paul Roberson of GKDC briefed on the Commercialization Update. He stated there are many good things going on. The EDC, Master Lease and phasing of the occupancy have been signed. Mr. Roberson stated Phase 2 for occupancy is up at AFBCA right now. During the discussion on Commercialization Business Prospects, Mr. Roberson stated there are a number of companies they are working with right now. However, he stated there is space available is the limiting factor. He stated the majority of the space will not become available until FY00, FY01.

14. Mr. Walsworth released the group for lunch. Resume discussions at 1300.

15. Mr. Claude Upton of NW presented a briefing on the Nuclear Weapons Directorate. Mr. Upton stated there have not been many changes since the BRAC Semi-Annual Review last year. Mr. Upton stated two of the four cadres have moved to OO. During the discussion on the DMAG Equipment moves, Mr. Upton stated the equipment had been moved to OO-ALC, but it is not up and running at this time. However, OO-ALC is working on getting it re-constituted. Mr. Upton stated Phase 2 will be moving out on Friday, 31 Oct 97. He stated there are no funding shortfalls for the work that is occurring. If the funding slips, it would possibly affect the DMAG at OO-ALC. Mr. Upton spoke briefly on the Cruise Missile issue. He stated the next meeting to discuss this issue will be in early November. He stated they will consolidate at the Center of Excellence at Kirtland AFB in Dec 00. Col. Young asked if a correct position number was received from Staff? Mr. Upton replied that the number of people would be the same. He stated that at the current time, plan is to move into existing NW facility. Mr. Upton stated there are some safety issues requiring maintenance prior to the move. During the review of CASC, Mr. Upton stated it is turning out to be a phased move and is scheduled to be completed by Aug 99. He stated NW is working the issue with DSWA. If they are not able to resolve the issue it will move up to the General level for

resolution. Mr. Upton discussed the DOE Direct spares support. He stated they have moved all of the DOE enduring stockpile parts to Allied Signal. He stated NW is continuing to work realignment with the joint IPT's. He stated there are no known showstoppers at this time.

16. Col. Terry Gabreski and Col. Kevin McNellis briefed the C-5 update. Col. Gabreski stated the contract was awarded in September for 7 years at \$434M. Col. McNellis briefed on the approach to transition. He stated they are looking at a seamless transition since WR-ALC will be operating out of two locations. He stated reductions in flowtimes are occurring. Col. Gabreski briefed on the integrated team approach. She stated at WR-ALC they have developed the Team Robins Integrated Beddown, which assists in the accelerated transition and serves as a welcome for new families. Practices from both ALC's are being adopted. Col. McNellis proceeded to speak about the WR-ALC Transition timeline. He stated WR-ALC is currently working on its first speedline input and are trying to stand up to the WR-ALC OL. Col. McNellis defined the processes for the WR-ALC operating location. He stated it would be worked in such a manner as to provide a single united front during the transition. He stated they are looking at a Jul 98 WIP target for WR-ALC as opposed to Sep 98. He stated they are to get the aircraft out of hangar prior to RIF. Col. Young asked when the RIF notices will be released. Col. McNellis replied that the RIF notices will be released 24 Nov 97. Col. McNellis stated they are attempting to do the training at SA-ALC since most of the facilities and necessary personnel are here. Col. Gabreski introduced Silvia Czarnecki to discuss the financial coordination on this. Ms. Czarnecki stated they began by maintaining dual legacy system inputs and adjustments. During a discussion on on-going actions, Ms. Czarnecki stated the MOA was addressed 6-9 Oct 97 to finalize the Base Operating Support (BOS). She also addressed the DMAG budgetary impact. Col. McNellis addressed Management Transition. Col. McNellis examined the personnel timeline. He stated part of the challenge is to identify the skills needed and surplus, and to work through the timing of VSIP / VERA separations. He stated they have had mass briefings on PCS moves. Col. McNellis stated WR-ALC will be here this weekend, 1-2 Oct 97, to discuss PCS issues. Col. McNellis stated training is one of the larger issues and stated they are working with AETC and the 433rd to get training accomplished. He stated they are trying to keep as much of the work here as possible for as long as possible. Col. Gabreski proceeded to brief on the DCMC contract administration. Col. McNellis discussed the Over & Above Process. He stated they are trying to determine if it is in the work spec and if it is cost effective? Col. Gabreski discussed the C-5 Equipment transition. She stated the workforce is trying to inventory the equipment, including vehicles, computers and toolkits. She stated they have finished the inventories and of 28 Oct 97, there are no showstoppers at this time. Col. Gabreski stated in the event of disputes over inventory, a dispute resolution process has been prepared and is in place. During the discussion on Joint Inventory, Col. Gabreski stated the equipment transfers to WR-ALC accountability should be complete by 3 Nov 97. Col. McNellis addressed the facilities issues. He stated one of the keys to success is the Mobile Tail Enclosures (MTE). He stated the largest facility at WR-ALC has been designated for C-5 work. Col. Gabreski concluded that this is a team effort and that the C-5 mission, with minimal impact to the customer, will continue to be a priority.

17. Ms. Cindy Kovalchuk of PR briefed on the Warehouse Inventory Drawdown. Ms. Kovalchuk briefed on the background of the inventory drawdown. Ms. Kovalchuk highlighted the fact that although DDST plans to outsource, all inventories must be removed from DDST's accountability by 13 Jul 01. She stated the WBS lists every single building and shows the status on each building. During the discussion on the relocation of Materiel Management Functions, Ms. Kovalchuk discussed where each manager will be assigned. She stated the RDO's showing in 2000 and 2001 are the DMAG material which will be the last to go. Ms. Kovalchuk presented the RDO schedule for FY98 and looked at the relocation actions through 18 Oct 97. She stated they are tracking the asset quantity in warehouses. Ms. Kovalchuk stated the timing and quantity of the RDO releases are affected by funding and workload capacity at DDST. DLA is suggesting alternative storage locations, according to warehouse space availability. She stated material movement rate and destination must be coordinated with DLA/DDRW. Col. Young asked about the situation at Tobyhanna. Ms. Masters said that situation data not apply to Kelly AFB. Ms. Kovalchuk presented local current initiatives and stated they would like the other ALC's to follow these initiatives. These initiatives include encouraging "house cleaning" at the gaining ALC's and testing RDO shipments to non-ALC storage sites. Ms. Kovalchuk stated they are working suspended conditions and emphasizing the disposition of excesses stored at Kelly AFB.

18. Col. George briefed the DLA Privatization. He stated RIF notices were put out in mid-Sep 97. He stated he is expecting the contract award will by Nov 97. The transfer to the contractor will be mid-Mar 98. During the discussion on Priority Placement, Col. George stated all of the individuals who are eligible to be registered were. As of 17 Oct 97, 153 placements were made. He stated they are encouraging outside expanded registration outside of the San Antonio area. All efforts to redistribute assets, take care of the personnel, and to privatize DDST operations are on track.

19. Mr. Larry Bailey of EM briefed Environmental Management. Mr. Bailey stated some major accomplishments have been completed. One major event is the EIS which could not have been done without the success of several groups to include legal, contracting and contractors. He stated a great deal has been accomplished even with a high turnover rate. He stated the BRAC clean-up plan is being streamlined. Mr. Bailey stated the goal is to move up the cleaning process. However, he stated there is a funding constraint. The goal is to have the final remedial cleanup action completed by FY01. He stated this year's budget is in excess of \$30M and both BRAC and other funding will be needed. Mr. Bailey stated that even with funding there are many challenges such as new contaminations being found (i.e. shallow water contamination). Col. Young asked how Kelly AFB is going to handle co-mingled industrial waste. Mr. Bailey replied that you cannot co-mingle industrial waste. Col. Young suggested speaking to SM-ALC to determine how SM-ALC is handling co-mingling of industrial waste. Mr. Bailey stated they are planning on installing meters to track the waste. However, once the permits are transferred to GKDC, then there can not be any co-mingling of industrial waste. Mr. Jackson asked about abandoned buried lead cables and soil contamination as found at SA-ALC. Mr. Bailey replied that the abandoned buried lead cables have not been found to contaminate the soil and that it is not an issue at Kelly AFB.

20. Lt. Col. Lombardi briefed the Propulsion Business Area. Lt. Col. Lombardi stated their number one goal is to maintain mission readiness. He stated their key issue was completed through a smooth transition and encompasses the overhaul, repair, modification of engines and two level maintenance. He stated there are many common processes that cross the workloads. Lt. Col. Lombardi stated the business area is depicted by line items on the contract (i.e., F100, T56, TF39) maintenance. Currently the dollar value is being adjusted. He stated that part of the workload will be wrapped up to reflect the change. Lt. Col. Lombardi stated the intention for PBA is to compete the entire business area. Currently LP is putting requirements against the line items. Lt. Col. Lombardi discussed the FY98 program requirements, stating they are working toward the contract development. He stated the basic ordering for the contract will be 7 years. If the contractor does a good job, the contract can be extended out to 15 years; however, poor performance could reduce it to 5 years. He stated the contract is structured with line items to allow maximum flexibility. Lt. Col. Lombardi briefed the program schedule. He stated they wanted a good transition plan in place when the contract went out. He stated they are awaiting lessons learned on the C-5 to place into the solicitations, thus leading toward the release of the draft RFP. Lt. Col. Lombardi discussed the following issues: Engine core determination; incorporating lessons learned from C-5; 60/40 restrictions; and House authorization language. He stated they are monitoring on daily to determine what will be on the authorization bill. Lt. Col. Lombardi moved the discussion towards Materiel Management Realignment. He stated they currently have a team at OC-ALC to work the issues. He stated they are mapping things together for the Materiel Management move. Lt. Col. Lombardi briefed on the Propulsion TPWG structure. He stated they report up through the executive group which is chaired by Mr. May and Col. Miller. The draft organizational structure has been developed and is in coordination. He stated all of the transition requirements have been identified. The IPT members are currently developing schedules for transition requirements. Lt. Col. Lombardi summarized the PBA competition. He stated the Materiel Management realignment is complex and that readiness remains a first priority.

21. Col. Miller briefed the F100 "CORE" Second Source Of Repair. In his briefing, Col. Miller stated that the F100 repair technical competencies were determined to be "CORE". He proceeded to speak about "CORE" planning. He stated the OC-ALC and SA-ALC team will be led by the Deputy PPGM and are developing an integrated plan. Depot activation will be tracked in the base closure Work Breakdown Structure (WBS). He stated that SA-ALC/LPF has an advance cadre to assist with depot activation at OC-ALC. Col. Miller proceeded to brief the schedule. Col. Miller stated there is a great deal of work to be done in order to establish a refurbished budget. He stated they are looking at getting the scrubbed numbers by 10 Nov 97. He stated there is a lot of work to do to determine equipment issues. He stated the numbers should stabilize on the personnel side. Other issues discussed were qualification and prototype costs. Col. Miller stated there is a need to look at BRAC funds vs. DMAG funding. A discussion followed on the BRAC vs. DMAG issue.

22. Mr. Walsworth concluded the formal briefings and asked Mr. Garcia to present the new action items and administrative items.